

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,189	9 02/04/2004		Raoul Bader	02894-640001 / 06580-PT2/	4677	
26161	7590	03/31/2005		EXAM	INER	
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON PC	HAMILTON	HAMILTON, ISAAC N		
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON,	WIA 021	A 02110		3724		
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/21/200	DATE MAILED: 02/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		SP					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/772,189	BADER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Isaac N Hamilton	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	ebruary 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , ,	ed.					
	or and doramou dopied not read it.						
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/09/2004</u> .	6) Other:	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/772,189

Art Unit: 3724

Ì

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rinck et al (3,382,580), hereafter Rinck, in view of Messinger et al (5,185,933). Rinck discloses shaving foil apertures with different patterns in figures 1-5. Rinck does not teach shaving foil apertures with different shapes, however, Messinger teaches shaving foil apertures of different shapes as shown in figure 8. It would have been obvious to provide shaving foils of different shapes in Rinck as taught by Messinger in order to provide a more comfortable shave. Rinck and Messinger together teach shaving foils with different aperture shapes and various patterns. While the prior art does not teach specifics of a Penrose parquet pattern, the Penrose parquet pattern is not intended for shaving, but instead intended as a mathematical model for covering a surface with geometric shapes. If one wanted to use this design for a shaving foil it would have been an obvious matter of choice of a variant pattern, wherein any variant pattern would inherently provide cutting as much as applicant's design. For example, paisley patterns, Ammann-Beenker patterns, Escher patterns, etc. would be capable of cutting as well and perhaps to at least the same degree.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,189

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΙΗ

March 24, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700